

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,147	07/09/2001	Nicholas B. La Thangue	620-149	4292
75	590 09/10/2002			
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			. EXAMINER	
			YU, MISOOK	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/900,147	LA THANGUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Misook Yu	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thir  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximul  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r  - Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term-adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  Status	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) is eply will, by statute, cause the application to become his after the mailing date of this communication, even	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on <u>09 <i>July 2001</i></u> .				
2a)  This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the					
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restr					
Application Papers	outer and/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	f:				
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been received i	n Application No			
	es of the priority documents have be ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a ction for a list of the certified copies i	)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	m for domestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a clai</li> </ul>	language provisional application ha m for domestic priority under 35 U.S				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)	w (PTO-948) 5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/900,147

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1, 2-11, 17, and 20, drawn to DP-1 peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to method of inducing apoptosis using product of group I above, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to expression vector and host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1 and 320.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions groups I, II, and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions groups are different products with different active ingredients or are used for different objectives.

Inventions I (product) and III (process) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case inventions the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as making antibodies.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. The search required for each of the above inventions is not coextensive with regard to the literature and the sequence searches. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of any one group would not necessarily anticipate or make obvious the any of the other groups. For these reasons, restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,147

Art Unit: 1642

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Group I contains claims generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. Cytostatic and cytotoxic agent in claim 11 are patentably distinct because they have different biological activities. If group I is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Group II contains claims generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. The different cell types listed in claims 14 and 15 are patentably distinct because they are different cell types with different gene expressions. If group II is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 09/900,147

Art Unit: 1642

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Misook Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Misook Yu, Ph.D. September 9, 2002

MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

Manshioh

1600